



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,019	01/11/2002	Partha Bhattacharya	50325-0629	8175
29989	7590 02/07/2006	EXAMINER		INER
HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP			MOORTHY, ARAVIND K	
2055 GATEW	AY PLACE			
SUITE 550			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, C	A 95110		2131	

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

źu A	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/044,019	BHATTACHARYA E	T AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Aravind K. Moorthy	2131			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 12 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			ine issues ioi		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9-11,13-23 and 25-28.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a 1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after ei	ntry is below or attach	ned.		
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 			nce because:		
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: On page 2, the applicant argues that Exton does not compare sub-entries of two separate ACLs like the method of claim 1. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Exton teaches that when a user belongs to more than one group, the server looks through multiple ACLs and checks through all the sub-entries of the ACLs. On page 4, the applicant argues that there is absolutely no discussion of the identification of overlapping ranges of any kind in the vast portion of Bell. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Bell teaches identifying overlapping values that were used to create the rules.

AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100